

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,828	10/773,828 02/09/2004		Imre Berecz	04IB-01	8093
	7590	12/08/2006	EXAMINER		IINER
Edward E. Ro	oberts		ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
P.O. Box 3206	,				
Dana Point, C	A 9262	9	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1761	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	•
Z	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/773,828	BERECZ, IMRE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2]  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for e. cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 (	October 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,5 and 8-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) -4, 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification for the end rings including end wood panels. The rings and panels are disclosed as being separate elements which are connected together when the frame is secured.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Elements such as the "connecting members" and "side wood panels" are merely inferentially claimed and should be positively recited in order to be considered limitations of the invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 8, 9, 16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morris.

There is disclosed in Morris a wine barrel comprising: a rigid barrel frame having upper and lower substantially identical rigid ends 36 and a plurality of rigid longitudinal connecting means 42; a plurality of wooden side panels 16 secured to the connecting means and extending circumferentially within the frame; wooden end panels 14 mounted between the rigid ends and connecting means; a gasket 140 interposed between the wooden end panels and side panels; and hardware in the form of rings to tightly secure all the side panels together to form a liquid tight barrel arrangement.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morris in view of Prime.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,828

Art Unit: 1761

Morris fails to disclose longitudinal connecting means formed of a material non-reactive to wine.

In Prime there is used a metal frame 11 having longitudinal connecting means which support wooden side and end panels.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the connecting means of Morris with that taught in Prime and construct them of a metal material as opposed to a wooden material, for the purpose of providing an alternative construction arrangement.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4, 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 24 October 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Morris fails to teach or suggest the claimed invention. Applicant states that Morris discloses a centuries old construction technique which relies on bonding. Applicant additionally states that the elements 36 of Morris depict hoops used together with bonding hold the staves together and could not be used to locate connecting side staves. It is the opinion of the Examiner that even though the elements of Morris are used somewhat differently than the claimed elements, the elements are structurally comparable. The elements 36 form rings which along with longitudinal elements help restrain the side panels together and therefor form a frame.

Art Unit: 1761

The fact that bonding is necessary is not a consideration. The structural limitations of the claims have been met by the prior art.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,828

Art Unit: 1761

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

rla

30 November 2006

Reginald L. Alexander

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761